The New-York Saturday Press.

VOL. II.—NO. 2. NEW YORK, JANUARY 8, 1859. PRICE, 5 CENTS.

The New York Saturday Press,
A JOURNAL OF THE TIMES
IS PUBLISHED
Every Saturday Morning,
AT NO. 9 SPRUCE STREET, NEW YORK.

HENRY CLAPP, Jr., } Editors.
T. B. ALDRICH, }

TERMS—$2 00 a year; Five Cents a single number.

ADVERTISEMENTS,
10 Cents a Line for 1 insertion.
35 " " " 4 insertions.
75 " " " 13 insertions.

SPECIMEN COPIES will be sent to any part of the Union on the receipt of five cents in postage stamps.

CHARACTERISTICS OF

The N. Y. Saturday Press.

I. THE SATURDAY PRESS is, in every respect, AN INDEPENDENT JOURNAL, connected with no party or sect, and tainted with no kind of "ism."

II. THE SATURDAY PRESS is irrevocably opposed to the whole system of Puffing, and never allows its reading columns to be used for the purpose of serving any private ends.

III. THE SATURDAY PRESS is not the organ of any Bookseller, Publisher, Theatre Manager, or other Advertiser; nor of any clique of Authors or Artists; nor of any other persons except its avowed Editors.

IV. THE SATURDAY PRESS is the only journal in the country which gives a COMPLETE LIST OF NEW BOOKS, or anything like a COMPLETE LIST OF BOOKS IN PRESS.

V. THE SATURDAY PRESS is the only journal in the country which furnishes a COMPLETE SUMMARY OF LITERARY INTELLIGENCE.

VI. THE SATURDAY PRESS circulates exclusively among thinking and intelligent persons, and is, therefore, the *Best Advertising Medium in the Country* for all persons who wish to reach that portion of the community.

VII. For these and other reasons the Publishers feel justified in saying, that for all intelligent and cultivated gentlemen and ladies, there is no more interesting or valuable journal in the country than

The New York Saturday Press.

A NEW PORTRAIT OF PARIS:

PAINTED FROM LIFE.

"Paint me as I am."—CROMWELL.

"Now being from Paris recently,
This fine young man would show his skill."
—HOLLAND.

BY HENRY CLAPP, JR.

CHAPTER XI.

THE Author's First Five Days in Paris—How it London he was Amazed and in Paris Delighted—The Effect of Paris upon him in the Morning—His First Breakfast and How Famous it Was—How he Astonished a Native and Received a Gratuitous Lesson From Him—How, when in Cowes, he Does as Cows Do—How he was ANXIOUS NOT to Pass for a Foreigner—What he Thinks of the Plasticity and Distinguishability of Americans—How he got a Glimpse of Louis Napoleon—His Portrait of Him—What he would Think if he Saw Such a Looking Man in New York.

I have thus far described only a few incidents of my first day in Paris. And what a day it was! I shall not soon forget it. As before stated, I had just come from London.

That vast metropolis had bewildered me with its immensity, and oppressed me with its magnificence. It loomed up in the background of my memory like a gloomy old picture by Salvato Rosa. Paris, on the other hand, as it lay out before me in all its Summer glory, encircled by a double wreath of trees; reclining upon the banks of the sweet-flowing Seine; and basking beneath a sky of more than Italian purity and softness, painted itself upon my mind with all the transparent beauty of a city by Canaletti, and the atmospheric brilliance of a landscape by Claude.

In London, you are amazed; in Paris you are delighted. Looking out upon the former from the proud dome of St. Paul's Cathedral, you exclaim, instinctively, "How grand!" Gazing down upon the latter from the turreted heights of Notre Dame, you cry out, enthusiastically, "How beautiful!" The English capital oppresses you like a primeval forest; the French fascinates you like a perennial garden. London commands your reverence like a potentate; Paris wins your admiration like a coquette. With the one you deliberate, with the other you flirt. Upon London you make a formal call, with Paris you spend the afternoon and take tea. To the British cockney you touch your hat and "move on;" with the Gallic cockney you shake hands and smoke a cigar. The American traveller spends a week in London and a month in Paris, and returns home thinking of the one and dreaming of the other, resolved all the while to revisit France before he dies, but to go by the way of England.

There was a charm about the beautiful city which was inconceivable. I put up at a curious and venerable hotel, the air of which was so familiar, that a strange home-feeling took possession of me, as if I had stopped there before. Who knows but what, in some pre-existent state I had; for the multangular old house had such an ancient look that Nature seemed to have adopted it as her own,

"And granted it an equal date
With Andes and with Ararat."

Every object about me seemed like an old acquaintance. The narrow street and spacious courtyard reminded me of Havana. The vernacular of the place recalled New Orleans. The Englishmen about reminded me of London. There was an Irishman there whom I had known in Dublin, and a Scotchman with whom I had taken snuff in Edinburgh and at Loch Lomond. Even the landlord seemed like an old friend, for he reminded me of a favorite host in Rio Grande, while a merchant from Canada carried me back to Quebec and the falls of Montmorency. And it was perhaps the combination of these facts, that made me feel as if my little barque of life had suddenly bathed water, and glided stern first into an old familiar port.

When I awoke from my first night's sleep, instead of being startled by the novelty of the things about me, and by the strange noises in the street, the very chairs seemed to open their arms to me, my umbrella seemed absolutely to fraternize with the poker in the corner, and the plaintive cry of the milkmaid echoing through the streets sounded as familiar to me as "Home, sweet Home." The sensation was delightful. I hurried on my clothes and rushed down stairs. Save now and then a sonorous snore, serving as a melodious bass to the tinkling fountain in the courtyard, the house was still as the grave. I found the outer gate bolted. I tapped at the door of the concierge, (portress) and in a minute the bolt was sprung, the gate flew open, and I was in the street.

Day had just cracked; in a few moments it broke. I walked instinctively toward the river. The great city was just opening one eye, and beginning to yawn. It had "slept soundly," like a certain great statesman, who now sleeps more soundly than ever, though he "still lives." Newsmen were abroad, with papers still moist with the sweat of the editor's brow. I bought the *Constitutionnel*, and was pointed by boys from Dr. Veron, that the Prince Pontifical, (now an Imperial Majesty,) who at the last accounts had a ball on his left thigh, was as well as could be expected. Presently I encountered a procession of milk-women, fat and cabbage-colored cook, three chairs, five carts, escorting his holiness in a patent heather hoe sitting proudly on his neck, and carrying also at a jaunty military angle, to which his right ear served as a convenience in carrier. The butchers, bakers, and wine-dealers, were just opening their shops. I noticed that these were all fronted with commercial iron railings, and that the butcher's shops had neither door nor window-sash, the strong iron-work answering for both. I attributed the fortified state of these establishments to the fact that revolutions being of such common occurrence in France—being in fact a kind of necessity to the people, like wine and tobacco—such precautions are absolutely indispensable. When "liberty, equality, and fraternity" are rampant, provisions, it would seem, are in rather brisk demand. Eating and drinking are about the only permanent institutions in the land: Grub and Grog are everywhere great facts, like Gog and Magog.

While making these reflections, I ought to have been looking out for my eyes; for a dwarfish little lad, bearing on his shoulders anything but a dwarfish ladder, came near running me through without so much as offering me a Jacob's ascent to heaven. I looked down on the fellow, and found he was a mural advertiser. Curiosity having overcome anger, I watched his movements for a while, and was amused to see him plant his infernal machine against every dead wall he could find, and, running up the half dislocated rounds like an imp, cover the blank space, by means of stencil-boards and fancy colors, with immense advertisements for the million, announcing every species of article from the latest electro-magnetic panacea, to the hundred and fiftieth volume (just out) of Alexandre Dumas' autobiography. This explained to me the scarcity of job-printers in Paris, and the almost entire absence of advertisements in its newspapers. I could not help observing also, that the placards of the innumerable theatres were all of a uniform and diminutive size, and of the most modest appearance; and I learned subsequently that their uniform dimension was not a matter of choice, but was ordered by the all-powerful and all-meddling government—another impediment to the art of printing. The omnibuses now beginning to pass at the slow jog-trot which I presume is also prescribed by law (for the French animal, from the horse to the high-priest, is a poor law-stricken creature), I had a chance to peep into the comfortable, arm-chaired interior of these spacious vehicles, and to notice that every spare inch of space, from the broad-arched ceiling down, was covered with showy advertisements.

The city had by this time opened its other eye, and begun to wink with excitement. Market carts from the country were groaning by, drawn by stout-legged horses in outlandish harness, and driven often by buxom young women, whose rosy cheeks would seem to have been pecked into dimples by the birds. Hand-carts, also laden with produce, cracked along the flinty thoroughfares, dragged by stout hucksters, assisted by their bareheaded, wooden-shod wives, sometimes yoked by their side (in typical significance of wedlock), sometimes pushing on behind. Mustached young counter-jumpers lounge to their stores as if still dreaming of last night's adventures. Flashy young women, with mysterious bundles under their arms, trip homeward in suspicious haste. Black-eyed work-girls, whose pretty white caps were but little paler than the care-worn faces they strove to enliven with ribbons and flowers, stroll, basket in hand, to their workshops. Long lines of diminutive policemen (*sergents de ville*) hustle the passers-by, and march in bad time and worse temper to their several posts. Water-carriers, man and woman, wheel mounted hogsheads of *l'eau fraiche* to their customers, stopping from house to house, drawing the cool liquid from the tap in strapless sabots to the bell-mouths of the bell-mouthed glasses. These glasses are not solid crystal, and carrying it in brace of iron-bound buckets, yoked to the neck, up never so many stories. Bakers' women trudge along with huge baskets of bread, leaving *here* immense rolls from three to ten feet in length, *there* family loaves as large as the family-chair bottom. Fuel-dealers, bent double with bags of coal or racks of wood, cheerfully carry their heavy loads up a hundred or more slippery stairs. Outcast Jews, whose heritage in Sartor Resartus-dom appears to be its cast-off garments, weary you with their husky cry of "old clothes! old clothes!" *Chiffoniers* and *chiffonières* (the distinction is sexual) grope along the gutters, and stirring every dirt heap on their beat with iron-tipped sticks or hookers, seize upon every morsel of rag, paper, bone, vegetable or bread, they can find, and toss it with marvellous dexterity over their shoulders into a long-backed conical basket, which they wear slung behind like an immense bustle. And finally—for my morning walk is about finished—crowds of working people of both sexes flock to cheap wine-shops, eating-houses, and milkeries, (*laiteries,*) to take their morning bite. The example is worth following, if only to change the scene, so I take an omnibus back to the hotel, and having made vain attempts during the ride to decipher the posters with which roof and sides were covered, feel, as getting out, as if my eye-lids had suddenly been changed into water-power printing presses, and for the last hour had been hard at work transferring to my poor retina an upside-down advertisement of every article for sale within the city.

The next thing was to get breakfast. Here now was a chance to try the famous French coffee, and still more famous bread. I had no sooner ordered a supply of these delicacies, than a lively waiter, glorying in the name of Fujax, spread a chalk-white cloth upon a little square table, arranged the necessary cutlery and crockery with military precision, and in a few moments placed before me a miniature coffee-pot (which looked as if it had just been borrowed from the nursery, and turned up its nose as if it didn't like to come), an immense pitcher, evidently stolen from "Rachael at the Well" (see somebody's picture at the Academy), a round silver plate, about the size of a dollar, containing a little pyramid of sugar cubes, and a gilt-edged cup and saucer about an inch thick, and much better adapted to the thick-lipped sons of Africa, than to the fine labial proportions of a Yankee. The tiny pot was filled with coffee of a consistency between a liquid and a paste (Day and Martin) what a dose!), while the huge pitcher held, as well as it could, about a gallon of boiling milk. I at once poured all the coffee into the cup—though with difficulty, for it was like molasses in the winter—and then, American fashion, added a spoonful or two of milk, and (describing the pyramid) suspended it to my taste. The compound duly stirred, I mulled a benediction upon it, and while mulling a slice of bread which I had just cut from a roll over three yards long, dipped it down as if instead of being Moche it had been nectar. But the strength of the beverage was such that, after a few sips, I had to own up that it was beyond the strength of my poor nerves. Meanwhile a native, sitting at the next table, had been gazing at me with astonishment. He kept silent as long as his French nature could stand it, and then, violently shrugging his shoulders at the risk of dislocating them, and drawing in his round head (the French all have round heads) like a turtle, he sifted a *non Dieu!* through his mustaches, and, evidently for the purpose of giving me a lesson, ordered coffee for himself.

Presently he was served; but instead of turning all the coffee into his cup, he poured in about two spoonfuls, and then filled it up with the boiling milk, and added four or five pieces of sugar. This done, he ate his bread complacentially into four pieces, and, taking them in turn, dipped each into the foaming mixture, and supped away till his cup was dry; moreover, by dint of looking upon his former cup mournfully, like a shark, he convinced this operative waiter (a gentleman possessing his humorous head) that he wanted another, which was forthwith brought. Then, with a gesture of despair, he gave it up, shook his head, and left it to Fujax to finish. I observed also that three cubes of the sugar were at once and unhesitatingly transferred to his trousers pocket—a practice, as I afterward learned, which so far from being contrary to French jurisprudence, was only a wise exhibition of French economy and justice. In fact, to deny to a Frenchman the privilege of pocketing his extra sugar, would be an invasion of his domestic rights, sooner than submit to which he would swallow all he could lay his hands on, at the risk of sweetening himself into a preserve, and dying inconsiderately of dyspepsia.

The next time I took coffee, I pocketed the extra sugar myself, though not, I confess, without the feeling that I was guilty of petty larceny. But I adhere to the good old injunction: "When in Cowes, do as cows do." Moreover, I was especially anxious not to pass for a foreigner, and I so far succeeded, that after a year's residence, I had to take a freshly arrived American with me to certify that though I neither chewed, shaved, bragged, spoke through my nose, wore black trousers, whistled, nor whittled, I was nevertheless a genuine and incorruptible Yankee.

I have often observed, however, that Americans lose their nationality sooner than any other people. They *assimilate* easily—much more so, for instance, than the English. I can

"Smell the blood of an Englishman"—

anywhere. You can no more transfigure one of them into a Frenchman, than you can transform a bull into a butterfly. Let him disguise himself as he will, and often does, with the most savage mustache and imperial; feed him for a twelvemonth on frogs and *fricandeaux*; let him live for a lifetime in the *Quartier Latin*, among students and grisettes, yet he will never lose his identity, but will always remain the same stolid sulky old Briton.

I have recently (to anticipate my story) seen Paris alive with Englishmen. They had come over with the Lord Mayor and his Aldermen, to be feted and fed by the portly Prefect. They amused the good people for a fortnight. They were to be found everywhere, and had John Bull (horns and all) been branded on every forehead, they would not have been a whit more easy to recognise. I could distinguish them with the naked eye at the top of the Pantheon—especially the Aldermen. Their big round faces, "fragrant with the mince pies of half a century," and flanked with huge collars rising from their short Durham necks like bulwarks; their plain, pulpy, yet neat "highly washed" appearance, together with their self-satisfied mein and sturdy gait, made them as conspicuous among the light-built, dapper Frenchmen, as a herd of elephants tramping through a bird-market.

Americans are not to be distinguished so easily; they are more dissolvent, and unite more readily with the mass. They are not so afraid, to borrow an old Quaker expression, of "getting into the mixture." They are more sympathetic and genial. A young, not to say a green people, are naturally so. Perhaps, when our national character becomes better formed, when it shall have become hardened and enameled by time, we shall be as stubborn and unyielding as the English. We are certainly not so now, and it is scarcely worth one's while to trouble himself about a distant and fictitious posterity.

But to return. I had just finished my breakfast, when suddenly every one in the coffee-room rushed to the door, and my next-table neighbor, dragging me by the arm, informed me that "that scoundrel *(enfant)* of a Napoleon was passing by." Anxious to see the princely adventurer, I joined the crowd, and was lucky enough to get a good look at him.

I should have known the rogue, even from the caricatures I had seen of him in *Punch*. He had a face of bronze. It told no tales. It hadn't blushed for twenty years. The eyes were small, dark, and partially eclipsed. The forehead, of average height, and neither concave nor convex, seemed, like the rest of the face, to be in the act of retiring from observation. The mouth was fortified by an impenetrable moustache. The nose was long (such as his uncle liked), and served to steady the whole face—like a flying-jib. The ears, also, were long, and so was the head—long and narrow, like an Irish mile. The general characteristic of the face was want of expression, and a certain demure, ruminating air, like that of a cow.

He is a man of middling height, and neither lean nor fat. He has an upright carriage, a firm step, and a look of unconcerned security, as if he were overinsured. Moreover, he is a sumptuous liver, a free-lover, a fast rider, a good whip, and a dead shot.

If I should see such a looking man in New York, he would probably attract my attention by his swarthy complexion, his luxuriant moustache, curled up like a crescent, his small discreet eyes, and his long arched nose, setting the seal upon his countenance, and giving it the expression of an "Israelite indeed, in whom there is" most "guile."

CHAPTER XII.

How the Reader is to be Initiated.—How the French are as much a Mystery to the Author as ever.—His Failure for Three Years to form any Idea of them.—What would have happened if he had been an Ambassador,—or a Consul,—or a Dyspeptic Clergyman.—The Author proceeds with his Work.—How he got Settled.—His Color at this Time.—His Philosophy of First Impressions.—A few Words more about the Hotel Corneille.—The Author's Notions about Landlords.—What Solemn Creatures they are in America, etc., etc.

It is well to inform the unravelled reader that he is to be initiated into a knowledge of Paris (so far as he depends upon this work for the ceremony), exactly as fast and as far as I myself was; and, truth to say, that was neither very fast nor very far.

The French are as much a mystery to me now, as they were before I crossed the little but imperious channel which, despite the cunning intervention of steam, and the cunninger sub-vention of electricity, separates France forever and ever from old England.

During the three years that I spent among them, all my attempts to form a general and comprehensive idea of their national character (so that I might compare it with our own, if perad venture we have one, which, under favor of the stars and stripes, may be doubted) were completely futile.

They seemed to me alternately the gayest and the saddest, the most profound and the most superficial, the most religious and the most sceptical, the most luminous and the most unyielding, the bravest and the most cowardly, the most polished and the most vulgar, the quickest, and the farthest, the best and the worst of all civilized people.

Moreover, I found my judgment in them constantly varying according to the state of the weather, the state of my digestion, the state of the funds (generally low), etc., etc. But, in the end, I came to the conclusion that I was neither a philosopher nor a sage, and, therefore, that for me to attempt to be critical or profound, or to see anything more than the virtues or vices of Paris, would be utter folly. Happy indeed shall I be, thought I, if I come at last, like the great Balzac, to have a profound knowledge even of the useless of things.

A very comfortable and comforting state of mind this, which saved me a world of trouble; for thenceforth I held it to be my mission (think of having a mission!) not to fathom any mysteries, not to reconcile nor even to understand things, but merely to glance at them as they went by (for everything seems to be going by in Paris), and to submit my observations to an indifferent public and equally indifferent publisher, without further comment.

But I have an Anglo-saxon shrinking from the publication of personal matters. Every one has a right to know all he can about me, but I have a right to tell him as much or as little as I please. Therefore, I will not tell the reader whether I am a Count and "a" Paris, a Gentleman, or a Grocer, or a Lamplighter, or a Lamplighter's Apprentice, or a Chimney-sweep, or a Scavenger, or a Rag-picker, or a Chief Scavenger, or a Rag-picker, or a Chief Rag-picker, or a Chief Rag-picker and Scavenger, or a Chief Chimney-sweep, Scavenger, and Rag-picker, or a Chief Lamplighter, Chimney-sweep, Scavenger, and Rag-picker, or a Chief Lamplighter's Apprentice,

THE SATURDAY PRESS

Select Literature

Original Poetry.

JANUARY.

The woods are bare,
And bare and bare
The grey moss sapped with cold;
The leaves are dusted,
By fierce rain-bursted,
From their fresh greenness rolled.

The meadow lines
Of trolling vines
Stretch harp-like forth the sounding plains;
From their leaves
Has gone the rose,
And all the lily stains.

Cold wind, white snow,
Savage fire, blue skies,
And cells the tadpole's Autumn glow;
The ice-bolts freeze
The rain-drops sleet,
And nod the old Year's congelee.

The days are cold,
The earth is old,
And mourns its summer's enamelled gold;
The springs are numb,
The birds are dumb,
And Winter in his night has come.

PITT JAMES O'BRIEN.

THE PARLE OF ST. ANTHONY.

I.

St. Anthony, one pleasant day,
Besides the ocean preaching,
Drew all the fish, the legends say,
To listen to his teaching.

The porpus, lion-cods, herring,
Eels, oysters, sprats, and mermen—
Each master ocean there is,
Came crowding to the sermon.

II.

But though with saintly eagerness
He exhorts his fish to hear him,
When once he finished there was not
A single convert near him.

The scaly congregation
Thus quickly dispersed,
Averred 'twas useless wasting
Their spendid destruction.

And then—went back to sleeping.

III.

And like our modern Aristides
Almost too gifted fishes,
And to repentant tunas
And to repentant bluefish,
Dead very stupid,
We hope, deaf,
And, on the Sabbath Monday,
Do sin as much as ever.

THOMAS TOM BURNER.

THE HIGHWAYS OF THE WORLD.

When a great city descends a new before unthought-of, and private property stands in the way of its progress, it is extraordinary how little scruple is felt in sweeping it away. Individuals have stood upon the sacred inviolability of property, and have been compelled to see their houses and lands levelled to the ground, and their property scattered to the winds, before the public necessity of a new street. Nothing can withstand the progress of a railroad, and the Commissioners of Sewers have only to say that a new drain is necessary, and the work is done. There is no reason why literature, or poetry in particular, should be defended *against* improvement; men and women want men's and women's poetry; the obstructions and the passions make up the poetic element of life, and no poetry will commend itself to men and women unless it take them as it finds them, and deal with them as they are. Nevertheless, the ordinary interests of life become the subject of mortal poetry or of weak moralizing poetry—supposing such a combination of terms admissible. We doubt much if the world ever knew a truly great poet who was not a man of strong human emotion—the poet would influence only weak and morbid persons. Nor do we see that the danger is really or great of getting morbid, trashy, unhealthy poetry on this subject as on the more familiar subject of love before marriage. It would demand qualities of genius which in themselves are a strong guarantee—the power and the taste of obtaining subtle shades of character and feeling, a perception of the action of the passions upon the features, and the habit of giving expression to all manifestations of the passion in the spring-time, which is not found in the same degree when the character has recovered from the first shock, and life, with all its interests, again enters into the heart, but invested with new charms and higher responsibilities, and with the deeper, fuller æstheticism, swelling in a steady current through the pulses.

So much for those more obvious objections that may in great measure account for the almost universal rejection of married love as a theme for poetry. We do not care to argue against any one who says, much less any one who thinks, that it is only young men and women who are interesting. Even with respect to mere sensuous beauty, it is a great absurdity to suppose that the splendor and charm are confined to two or three years of early womanhood. "*Bacuorg de femmes en maturité, ou la cueillent ces ennuyées ? laissons de femmes de devant mes yeux, car elles ne me font pas rêver,*" says a shrewd French writer, after enumerating the supposed attractions of youth in women. "*Beaucoup de femmes de trente ans,*" says Balzac, "*sont belles encore ; certainly no Englishman who uses his eyes needs this assurance ; and no one who delights in the society of women can doubt that they continue to grow in all that charms the heart and intellect, in all the materials of poetry, after they become wives and mothers.

There is, however, one solid objection to the tenor of our remarks to which we are inclined to give great weight. We can fancy many persons, for whose opinion we have the highest respect, protesting against the intrusion of the poet into the recesses of married life, against the analysis of feelings that were not given us to subject ourselves with, against

"those who, asking wits to dwell on their bar
The secret inbred cankerers of the heart,
Let in the day."

Literature was made for man, and not man for literature. There are, unquestionably, scenes which the imagination and better laws alone, though the which splendid and no utterance in printed speech, feelings upon which the light and air cannot dwell without tarnishing them. But without in the slightest degree trenching upon ground that should be sacred to silence, we conceive married life as one of the most powerful influences at work upon the characters and happiness of individuals and of nations, to present capabilities of noble and manful character, to far from weakening the strength or vulgarizing the delicacy of domestic affection, would exalt and refine it. We see no reason for supposing that the conjugal relation would suffer in purity of spontaneous power by being passed through the alembic of a great poet's imagination. If it become the subject of morbid poetry or of weak moralizing poetry—supposing such a combination of terms admissible—the poet merits equal blame as the reader. But let us see that the danger is really great, and that the evil does not lie in the nature of the subject, but in the treatment. We do not argue for the time being; but it certainly gives nonobvery to all manifestations of the passion in the spring-time, which is not found in the same degree when the character has recovered from the first shock, and life, with all its interests, again enters into the heart, but invested with new charms and higher responsibilities, and with the deeper, fuller æstheticism, swelling in a steady current through the pulses.

So much for those more obvious objections that may in great measure account for the almost universal rejection of married love as a theme for poetry. We do not care to argue against any one who says, much less any one who thinks, that it is only young men and women who are interesting. Even with respect to mere sensuous beauty, it is a great absurdity to suppose that the splendor and charm are confined to two or three years of early womanhood. "*Beaucoup de femmes en maturité, ou la cueillent ces ennuyées ? laissons de femmes de devant mes yeux, car elles ne me font pas rêver,*" says a shrewd French writer, after enumerating the supposed attractions of youth in women. "*Beaucoup de femmes de trente ans,*" says Balzac, "*sont belles encore ; certainly no Englishman who uses his eyes needs this assurance ; and no one who delights in the society of women can doubt that they continue to grow in all that charms the heart and intellect, in all the materials of poetry, after they become wives and mothers.

There is, however, one solid objection to the tenor of our remarks to which we are inclined to give great weight. We can fancy many persons, for whose opinion we have the highest respect, protesting against the intrusion of the poet into the recesses of married life. We have no right to ask Literature to suppose that its splendor and charm are confined to two or three years of early womanhood. "*Beaucoup de femmes en maturité, ou la cueillent ces ennuyées ? laissons de femmes de devant mes yeux, car elles ne me font pas rêver,*" says a shrewd French writer, after enumerating the supposed attractions of youth in women. "*Beaucoup de femmes de trente ans,*" says Balzac, "*sont belles encore ; certainly no Englishman who uses his eyes needs this assurance ; and no one who delights in the society of women can doubt that they continue to grow in all that charms the heart and intellect, in all the materials of poetry, after they become wives and mothers.

We are constrain to be pained by people who, not knowing, perhaps, of the existence of *The Lodge*, and other papers of that calibre—or pretending that they do not—continue to publish extracts from the letters of correspondents with whom they are in communication. Such a course is insulting to the writers of the letters—people who can only say off-hand that a business correspondence would probably be a startling revelation. One class of the public—the educated and highly moral—would be good as well as give pleasure. But we are not so much concerned to gratify a large field of strictly ethical readers for whom we have no room to grow. Our domestic life is not so uniformly wholesome influence, and giving the rest are less the highest class of minds, and go on ever spreading its our attention, as soon as the necessary arrangements can be completed, to furnish equally complete lists to the most complete list of the kind in the world. It is a matter of pride to us, in the height to which our circulation has reached, that we are able to publish every week a list of the names of persons who have paid for a year's subscription to *The Saturday Press* in advance. The list which we shall publish next week will be found to be a most remarkable one, and will show that the paper has already a circulation which is the envy of many of the oldest and most established publications in the country. It is a matter of congratulation to us that we have been able to do this without being dependent on the support of any clique or party. We have appealed to the people, and the people have responded to our appeal. We have no doubt that the list which we shall publish next week will be found to contain the names of many persons who have never before subscribed for any paper, and who have been induced to do so by the merits of *The Saturday Press* alone. We have no doubt that the list will be found to contain the names of many persons who have never before subscribed for any paper, and who have been induced to do so by the merits of *The Saturday Press* alone. We have no doubt that the list will be found to contain the names of many persons who have never before subscribed for any paper, and who have been induced to do so by the merits of *The Saturday Press* alone.

The Saturday Press.

NEW YORK, JANUARY 8, 1859.

The N. Y. Saturday Press.

MOUNT VERNONISM.

One of our American weaknesses is a certain flabby tenderness for the memory of Washington. This is not peculiar to the Americans, but is common to all people who have fixed upon their hearts a hero whose character has become consecrated by the lapse of time. Washington is the only man of whom we can say that he has never been criticised. Even his enemies have been silenced by the universal feeling that it was impossible to speak of him in the same breath with the ordinary men who are the subjects of criticism. Washington is the only man of whom we can say that he has never been criticised. Even his enemies have been silenced by the universal feeling that it was impossible to speak of him in the same breath with the ordinary men who are the subjects of criticism. Washington is the only man of whom we can say that he has never been criticised. Even his enemies have been silenced by the universal feeling that it was impossible to speak of him in the same breath with the ordinary men who are the subjects of criticism.

Literary Notices.

— The first volume of the French Academy's new Dictionary has appeared, and is pronounced by the literary journals of Paris to be a work of great merit. It is the result of the labors of forty years, and is the most complete work of the kind ever published. It contains 35,000 words, and 100,000 definitions. The first volume of the work is dedicated to the Emperor Napoleon III.

— The first volume of the French Academy's new Dictionary has appeared, and is pronounced by the literary journals of Paris to be a work of great merit. It is the result of the labors of forty years, and is the most complete work of the kind ever published. It contains 35,000 words, and 100,000 definitions. The first volume of the work is dedicated to the Emperor Napoleon III.

— We have received the fifth number of *La Plume et l'Aiguille*, a monthly magazine of fashion, literature, and the arts, edited by Madame Seyrig d'Aulby. It is published by H. R. Ladd & Co., No. 848 Broadway.

— *La Plume et l'Aiguille* is the title of an admirable Christmas and New Year's story by Charles Dickens, just published in pamphlet form by T. B. Peterson & Brothers, Philadelphia. Price ten shillings.

— The American Geographical and Statistical Society have made arrangements for the publication of a quarterly journal, under the superintendence of Mr. Buckingham, the proprietor of the journal, and Mr. Henry Stevens, the secretary of the society, and Mr. Henry V. Poor, well known as the author of several well known volumes on the above subjects. It will appear about the 1st of January.

— Thomas Doughty, the pioneer of American landscape painting, died in this city, on the 24th ult., in the 63d year of his age. He was born in Philadelphia, and was originally brought up to the trade of a leather currier. He was self-taught as an artist, and commenced painting in 1821. His first picture was exhibited at the Pennsylvania Academy of Fine Arts, and was entitled "Shakspeare's Seven Ages." He was a member of the Academy of Design in this city, and was well known to the public as an artist of great merit.

— Mr. Andrew T. Dahlgren, No. 348 Broadway, has published a valuable work on phonography, and an educational paper on Phillips's Defence. The first book appears to be on the inevitable remedy. All who have tried to learn phonography, will probably confess a hundred failures before success. Mr. Dahlgren's book is said to be the only one which has ever been published in this country which has been successful. Many have tried and failed, but Mr. Dahlgren has succeeded. He may be considered the author of phonography in this country. The price of his book is $1.

— *Lays of Ceylon*, in two volumes, is Messrs. Tickner & Fields' last addition to their "Waverley." Two additional volumes will complete the series to Scott's novels. The "Lays of Ceylon" are from the pen of Mr. Charles Sprague Hall, of Boston, and are published by Messrs. Ticknor & Fields, Boston.

— The American Antiquarian Society have completed the earliest volume of *Archæologia Americana*, containing the original letters of Columbus, and the communication of the Chevalier Bernard to the Society, [London, and the publication to Columbus to Dominica Island in 1856, and a letter of Amerigo Vespucci to Soderini, written in 1504. The Society's Proceedings in the Philadelphia Public Ledger, and the Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, are published by Messrs. Lippincott, Grambo & Co.

— The American Antiquarian Society have completed the earliest volume of *Archæologia Americana*, containing the original letters of Columbus, and the communication of the Chevalier Bernard to the Society, [London, and the publication to Columbus to Dominica Island in 1856, and a letter of Amerigo Vespucci to Soderini, written in 1504. The Society's Proceedings in the Philadelphia Public Ledger, and the Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, are published by Messrs. Lippincott, Grambo & Co.

— Mr. Bayard Taylor's ballad of Lars, which has been reprinted, and has been published by Messrs. Ticknor & Fields, Boston. Price 25 cents.

— Mr. Bayard Taylor's ballad of Lars, which has been reprinted, and has been published by Messrs. Ticknor & Fields, Boston. Price 25 cents.

— Messrs. Phillips, Sampson & Company have published a new edition of Mr. Longfellow's "Hiawatha," with illustrations by Darley. Price 75 cents.

— Messrs. Phillips, Sampson & Company have published a new edition of Mr. Longfellow's "Hiawatha," with illustrations by Darley. Price 75 cents.

— Messrs. Ticknor & Fields

Chromo-lithography. By Vincent Brooks. Super Royal Folio. Six guineas. Half bound morocco, in Columbier folio. Half bound morocco. Ten guineas. London: Vincent Brooks.

MEDICAL.

The Deaf and Dumb; their Condition, Medical Treatment, and Education. By Joseph Toynbee, F.R.S. F.R.C.S., Aural Surgeon at St. Mary's Hospital, and Consulting Surgeon to the London Asylum for the Deaf and Dumb. 8vo. 1s. London: John Churchill.

A Descriptive Catalogue of Preparations, Illustrative of Diseases of the Ear, in the Museum of Joseph Toynbee, F.R.S. 8vo. 5s. London: John Churchill.

Outlines of Physiology. By T. H. Bennett, M.D., Professor of the Institutes of Medicine and Senior Professor of Chemical Medicine in the University of Edinburgh. 8vo. Cloth 6s. London: Longman & Company.

The Unity of Medicine; its Corruptions and Divisions. By A Fellow of the Royal College of Surgeons. London: Baillière.

Medico-Chirurgical Transactions Published by the Royal Medical and Chirurgical Society of London. Vol. XLI. Second series. Vol. XXIII. 8vo. pp. 468. Six Illustrations and Two Tables. 14s. Gilt top. London: Longman & Company.

On the Prevention and Treatment of Mental Disorders. By George Robinson, M.D., etc., Physician to the Newcastle and Gateshead Dispensaries; author of Contributions to the Physiology and Pathology of the Circulation of the Blood. 8vo. 6s. London: Longman & Company.

Books in Press.

AMERICAN.

ROBERT CARTER & BROTHERS, NEW YORK.

Precepts in Practice; or, Stories Illustrating the Proverbs, by A. L. O. E.

Eadie on Philippians, 8vo.

D. APPLETON & CO., NEW YORK.

Passages from my Autobiography, by Sydney, Lady Morgan.

Agnes Hopetoun's Schools and Holidays, by Mrs. Oliphant.

Memoirs of William Beckford, of Fonthill.

Boys' Book of Travel.

The Foster Brothers: College Life of Two Young Men.

The Story of Bethlehem.

My Lady: a Tale of Modern Life.

HARPER & BROTHERS, NEW YORK.

Passages from my autobiography, by Sydney, Lady Morgan.

Hanworth.

Kangaroo Hunters.

Days of Old, by the author of "Ruth and her Friends."

An Old Debt, by Florence Dawson.

The Romance and its Hero.

Holmby House.

Maud Ringley.

Hector Gannet of Otter.

Memoir of Rev. Jabez Bunting, D.D.

Gerald Fitzgerald, by Charles Lever.

JAMES MILLER, NEW YORK.

The New Science of Mento-Theology.

Parable for the Clergy, and Food for the People, by Sciencia.

The Militiaman's Manual and Sword Play without a Master. By Capt. C. W. Berriman.

J. E. TILTON & CO., BOSTON.

Historic Notes on the Books of the Old and New Testaments, by Samuel Sharpe.

C. M. SAXTON, NEW YORK.

Curiosities of Science, Past and Present, by John Timbs, F.S.A.

R. L. DELISSER, NEW YORK.

Both Sides.

Kip's Lenten Fast.

PHILLIPS, SAMPSON & CO., BOSTON.

The New Plan of Creation, by Rev. C. L. Hequembourg, Warren, Penn.

HICKLING, SWAN & BREWER, BOSTON.

Worcester's Quarto Dictionary. Ready in May.

GOULD & LINCOLN, BOSTON.

Lectures on Logic, by Sir William Hamilton.

LITTLE, BROWN & CO., BOSTON.

Genealogical Dictionary of the First Settlers of New England to June, 1692; by James Savage. 4 vols., 8vo.

Orations and Speeches on Different Occasions, by Edward Everett. Vol. 3.

Life, Letters, and Dispatches of Maj. Gen. Nathanael Greene, by his Grandson, Geo. Washington Greene. 3 vols., 8vo.

Contributions to the Natural History of the United States, by Louis Agassiz. Vol. 3., Acalephs; or, Jelly Fishes. With 26 plates.

Shakespeare's Complete Works, by Richard Grant White. Vols. VI.–VIII., containing the Histories.

Plutarch's Lives. Translated by A. H. Clough. 5 vols., 8vo.

Dictionary of Americanisms, by John Russell Bartlett. New Edition. 1 vol., 8vo.

History of the Northmen, by the late Henry Wheaton, LL.D. New Edition, with additions.

Art Items.

— Mr. G. G. Fish has just completed a portrait of little Ella Burn, which is now on exhibition at the pianoforte rooms of Boardman, Gray & Co., corner of Broadway and Broome street.

— The second annual convention of the National Art Association will be held at the Smithsonian Institute, in Washington, on the 11th day of January, 1860. A very full attendance is expected. Several artists of this city are to attend. The object of the convention is to induce Congress to appoint three commissioners from their number, to superintend all matters connected with art, so far as government is concerned. Large sums of money have been expended upon the decorations of the Capitol and other public buildings, in wall fresco, in sculpture, and in painting, most of which works are claimed by the artists, to be not only unworthy of the country, but disgracefully bad. To correct this evil is the main object of the convention. If they succeed in rescuing the Capitol decorations from the hands of ignorant workmen who have hitherto designed and executed them, they will do a good work. These matters have hitherto been entrusted to the Library Committee of the House of Representatives, who have delegated it to Capt. Meigs of the army, the general superintendent of the Capitol extension.

— Mr. W. A. Boyle, well known in New York, but now a resident of St. Louis, has lately exhibited several portraits and other pictures in that city, which are spoken of by the papers in terms of approbation.

— Mr. Hubbard is engaged upon a commission from Mr. Walters of Baltimore. This gentleman has given many artists commissions, and with an enlightened liberality rarely met with in America, neither limits them to size, price, or subject. A man of high artistic culture, he is qualified to understand the positions and requirements of artists. His gallery bids fair to be the best in the country, since few if any are selected with the same tact and discrimination.

— Lovers of pictures will find in the "studio" in 10th street an exhibition of pictures, open at all times and free to all. The same may be said of the gallery in Dodworth's building, near Grace church, Broadway. Changes are being made every day, so that the visitor is sure of variety.

— The first Artists' Reception of the season took place on Tuesday evening last. These receptions are given in Dodworth's hall, by a body of artists, who issue invitations and entertain their guests with a display of their own and foreign pictures. There were about five hundred guests present at this reception, including many distinguished characters.

The number of pictures exhibited was about a hundred. We do not remember to have seen so fine a collection before in this city. Much attention was attracted by a very lovely female head, called "Ophelia," by Mr. Greene, which was very deservedly admired for its grace, expression, and coloring. Another, a portrait of a lady, by W. D. Hook, also in oil, and showing an excellent knowledge of the human face. Another, a portrait of a gentleman, by Mr. Hubbard, in which the head was very fine. Another, a portrait of a lady, by Mr. Boyle, in which the head was very fine, and the dress painted in a masterly manner, with a rich, warm tone, which in her morning dress she was abandoning to the allurements of a crowd. In the very lovely flowers and beautifully executed [illegible] were glad to see another of his pictures, painted since his return from Europe. Two heads by Baker, and especially a group of girls in the thicket of trees, were decided favorites. Mr. Gray's eminent portrait of an artist's wife, is a gem of color and composition.

These were among the more prominent of the figure pieces. They were all unusually good, and indicate a decided improvement in this branch of art. But, as in all other collections of late, the landscapes attracted most attention, which was justified in this instance by their general excellence. Mr. Shattuck sent two landscapes. In one, the romantic shores of Mt. Desert island under a peculiar effect of storm-light was very successfully rendered. It was almost the first coast scene we have noticed lately that was not pilfered from the French or English schools. The other, an Autumn picture of Androscoggin scenery in the State of Maine, with a distant peep of the White Mountains, was generally conceded to be the best landscape in the collection. It is a large picture, full of landscape incident, crowded with elaborate detail, evincing long and earnest study of nature, and withal imbued with a delightful sense of the subtle and romantic loveliness of the Autumn-time. Mr. Durand contributed several of those elaborate studies from nature, of rocks and trees, which, with all the truth and general fidelity of the pre-Raphaelites, so gracefully avoid the unsentimental and insignificant detail of that school. A large picture by Wenzler, quite pre-Raphaelitic in effect, provoked attention by the spirit of fidelity with which it had been painted. Mr. W. O. W. Dana, whose pictures have not been much seen in New York, contributed several, all of which evinced marked ability, and were distinguished for picturesque effect. They are so much loaded with the mannerism of the French school, that what there may be of genuine merit is not easily distinguishable. In a small group of French buildings, and in a coast scene, there was much fine color. A landscape with cattle, the animals painted by Tait, and the landscape by James Hart, afforded a successful example of the advantage of artists painting in company. The cattle deserved a less flimsy setting. A landscape by Coleman, painted with the rare feeling and delicacy that mark all his works, formed the centre to a group of nice paintings by Williamson, Suydam, Hubbard, Hart, Ehninger, Tait, and many others, which, not for want of appreciation, but for lack of space, we do not particularize. It is unfortunate for picture-lovers that the fine collections of this Association could not be thrown open for a brief period to the public. Such an arrangement would complete its usefulness.

— We understand that measures are maturing towards the organization of an Artists' Fund Association, for the benefit of the families of deceased artists.

— S. A. Hart, R. A., and Professor of Painting to the Royal Academy, London, delivered a lecture, on the 14th of December, before the members of the Liverpool Society of Fine Arts, taking for his subject the Study of Art. "This is the first time, we fancy," says the *Athenæum*, "that a professor of the Royal Academy has ever gone as a teacher into the provinces."

— Mr. Armitage's historical allegory of the "Retribution," which was in the exhibition of the Royal Academy, London, this year, and is now in the exhibition of the Liverpool Society of Fine Arts, has been promoted by the artist to the town of Leeds, and is to be placed in the new town hall.

— We learn from the London *Town-Talk* that the price of Turner's paintings in England has of late been very rapidly declining.

— The Academy of Fine Arts at Stockholm have elected as members of their body Sir Charles Eastlake, R.A., President, Sir Edwin Landseer, R.A., Sir Charles Barry, R.A., C. R. Cockerell, R.A., and Prof. Donaldson.

— A statue of Peter the Hermit has recently been inaugurated in the gardens of the old Monastery of Neumoutier, at Huy, in Belgium, in which monastery he died.

— Mr. Redgrave, of the Royal Academy, London, is making a full and precise catalogue of the pictures in the several Royal collections. He has also commenced taking an interest in the portraits of English historical personages. Mr. Redgrave recommends that Mr. Scharf, the secretary of the National Portrait Gallery, should commence a catalogue forthwith of existing portraits of eminent English worthies, with measurements and other matters of moment immediately connected with them.

— Paul Akers, the sculptor, who has been spending some months in Maine, is soon to be in New York, where he will remain a considerable time previous to his return to Rome.

— Palmer has received an order for a bust of Gov. Morgan, which is to be executed at once.

— John Pope, the portrait painter, is to spend the Winter at the South.

— A "Society for the Encouragement of the Fine Arts" has just been formed in London under the presidency of the Earl of Carlisle, the object of which is to diffuse sound principles of Art and Criticism amongst the public by means of lectures, discussions, and classes for study, illustrated by examples from the works of eminent masters of all schools. In the list of vice-presidents we find the names of the Earl of Ellesmere, Lord Ward, and Lord Feversham. The corresponding secretary is Mr. Henry Ottley.

— Mr. Ruskin, in his inaugural address delivered at Cambridge, Oct. 29, 1858, makes the following remarks:—

The Art of Greece rose, and did service to the people, so long as it was to them the earnest interpreter of a Religion they believed in; the sole art of Northern sculpture and architecture rose as interpreters of Christian legend and doctrine; the art of painting in Italy, not only as religious, but also, mainly, as expressive of truths of moral philosophy, and powerful in human portraiture. The only great painters in our schools of painting in England have been either of portrait—Reynolds and Gainsborough; of the philosophy of social life—Hogarth; or of the facts of Nature in landscape—Wilson and Turner. In all these cases, if I had time, I could show you that the success of the painter depended on his desire to convey a truth, rather than to produce a merely beautiful picture; that is to say, to put a likeness of a man or a place; to put some moral principle rightly stated, or some historical character rightly described, rather than to merely give pleasure to the eyes. Compare the feeling with which a Moorish architect decorating an arch of the Alhambra with that of Hogarth painting the *Marriage à la mode*, or of Wilkie painting the Chelsea Pensioners, and you will at once feel the difference between Art painting for pleasure only, and for the sake of some useful impression. Now, therefore, the sum of all is, that you who wish to encourage Art in England, have to do two things with it. You must delight in it, in the first place; and you must get it to serve some serious work, in the second place. I don't mean by serious work, necessarily moral; all that I mean by serious is, in some way or other useful, not merely selfish, careless, or luxurious.

— The *Frankfort Journal* gives the following, translated from an African paper:

During the last week of October interesting archæological discoveries were made, in course of the excavations that are now proceeding in the Acropolis. Among the [illegible] for light is an image of Minerva, in a [illegible] helmet head, hands, or feet, having on the breast the head of Medusa, and wearing a garment reaching to the feet. Palladia with hemispheres have also been found, the one of these stands the statue of the emperor Hadrian, son of Trajan; on another, a statue of Antinous in honor of Publius Quinctilius, whose name also has been found. Of the numerous [illegible] of the Acropolis, A pillar bears a votive inscription of Hermias of the Attic Demos Pithesia, [illegible] a pedestal, five feet in height, on which [illegible] of Minerva, [illegible] Athena to Claudius Attalus, [illegible] of Pallas, like the founder of the nation, [illegible] of the Attic tribe of Pandion, [illegible] of the Pitheus of Attica, [illegible] of Pallas, [illegible] Athena, [illegible] Augustus, [illegible] Pallas, [illegible] Athena, [illegible] Augustus, [illegible] Pallas, [illegible] Athena, [illegible] Augustus, [illegible]

OLD ENGLISH POETRY.

Delight in God Only.

BY FRANCIS QUARLES.

I love (and have some cause to love) the earth:
She is my Maker's creature; therefore good:
She is my mother, for she gave me birth;
She is my tender nurse—she gives me food;
But what's a creature, Lord, compared with thee?
Or what's my mother, or my nurse to me?

I love the air: her dainty sweets refresh
My drooping soul, and to new sweets invite me;
Her shrill-mouth'd quire sustains me with their flesh,
And with their polyphonian notes delight me:
But what's the air or all the sweets that she
Can bless my soul withal, compared with thee?

I love the sea: she is my fellow-creatures,
My careful purveyor; she provides me store:
She wafts me round; she makes my diet greater;
She wafts my treasure from a foreign shore:
But, Lord of Oceans, when compared with thee,
What is the ocean, or her wealth to me?

To heaven's high city I direct my journey,
Whose spangled suburbs entertain mine eye;
Mine eye, by contemplation's great attorney,
Transcends the crystal pavement of the sky:
But what is heaven, great God, compared to thee?
Without thy presence heaven's no heaven to me.

Without thy presence earth gives no refection;
Without thy presence sea affords no treasure;
Without thy presence air's a rank infection;
Without thy presence heaven itself no pleasure:
If not possess'd, if not enjoy'd in thee,
What's earth, or sea, or air, or heaven to me?

The highest honors that the world can boast,
Are subjects far too low for my desire;
The brightest beams of glory are (at most)
But dying sparkles of thy living fire:
The loudest flames that earth can kindle, be
But sightly glow-worms, if compared to thee.

Without thy presence wealth is bags of cares;
Wisdom but folly; joy disquiet—sadness:
Friendship is treason, and delights are snares;
Pleasures but pain, and mirth but pleasing madness;
Without thee, Lord, things be not what they be,
Nor have they being, when compared with thee.

In having all things, and not thee, what have I?
Not having thee, what have my labors got?
Let me enjoy but thee, what further crave I?
And having thee alone, what have I not?
I wish nor sea nor land; nor would I be
Possess'd of heaven, heaven unpossess'd of thee.

The Sweet Neglect.

BY BEN JONSON.

Still to be neat, still to be drest,
As you were going to a fea-t;
Still to be powder'd, still perfum'd;
Lady, it is to be presum'd,
Though art's hid causes are not found,
All is not sweet, all is not sound.
Give me a look, give me a face,
That makes simplicity a grace;
Robes loosely flowing, hair as free:
Such sweet neglect more taketh me
Than all th' adulteries of art:
They strike mine eyes, but not my heart.

Times go by Turns.

BY ROBERT SOUTHWELL.

The lopped tree in time may grow again,
Most naked plants renew both fruit and flower;
The sorriest wight may find release of pain,
The driest soil sucks in some moistening shower:
Time goes by turns, and chances change by course,
From foul to fair, from better hap to worse.

The sea of Fortune doth not ever flow;
She draws her favors to the lowest ebb;
Her tides have equal times to come and go;
Her loom doth weave the fine and coarsest web:
No joy so great but runneth to an end,
No hap so hard but may in fine amend.

Not always fall of leaf, nor ever Spring,
Not endless night, yet not eternal day:
The saddest birds a season find to sing,
The roughest storm a calm may soon allay.
Thus, with succeeding turns, God tempereth all,
That man may hope to rise, yet fear to fall.

A chance may win that by mischance was lost;
That net that holds no great, takes little fish;
In some things all, in all things none are crost'd;
Few all they need, but none have all they wish.
Unmingled joys here to no man befall;
Who least, hath some; who most, hath never all.

The Kiss—A Dialogue.

BY ROBERT HERRICK.

1. Among thy graces tell me this:
What is the thing we call a kiss?—
2. I shall resolve ye what it is:

It is a creature born and bred
Between the lips, all cherry red;
By love and warm desires fed;
Chor.—And makes more soft the bridal bed.

2. It is an active flame, that flies
First to the babies of the eyes,
And charms them there with lullabies;
Chor.—And stills the bride too when she cries.

Then to the chin, the cheek, the ear,
It frisks, and flies; now here, now there;
'Tis now far off, and then 'tis near;
Chor.—And here, and there, and everywhere.

1. Has it a speaking virtue?—2. Yes.
1. How speaks it, say?—2. Do you but this,
Part your join'd lips, then speaks your kiss;
Chor.—And this love's sweetest language is.

1. Has it a body?—2. Ay, and wings,
With thousand rare excolorings;
And as it flies, it gently sings,
Chor.—Love honey yields, but never stings.

Mediocrity in Love Rejected.

BY THOMAS CAREW.

Give me more love, or more disdain;
The torrid or the frozen zone
Bring equal ease unto my pain,
The temperate affords me none;
Either extreme of love or hate
Is sweeter than a calm estate.

Give me a storm; if it be love,
Like Danae in that golden shower,
I swim in pleasure; if it prove
Disdain, that torrent will devour
My vulture-hopes; and he's possess'd
Of heaven that's hot from hell releas'd;
Then crown my joys or cure my pain;
Give me more love or more disdain.

A Poet must Feel what he Writes.

BY THE EARL OF ROSCOMMON.

No poet any passion can excite,
But what they feel transport them when they write.
Have you been led through the Cumnan cave,
And heard th' impatient maid divinely rave?
I hear her now; I see her rolling eyes;
And panting, Lo, the god! she cries:
With words not hers, and more than human sound,
She makes th' obedient ghosts peep trembling through the ground.
But though we must obey when heaven commands,
And man in vain the sacred call withstands,
Beware what spirit rages in your breast;
For ten inspir'd, ten thousand are possess'd:
Thus make the proper use of each extreme,
And write with fury, but correct with phlegm.
As when the cheerful hours too freely pass,
And sparkling wine smiles in the tempting glass,
Your pulse advises, and begins to beat
Through every swelling vein a loud retreat:
So when a Muse preposterously invites,
Improve her fervors, and indulge her flights;
But when you find that vigorous heat abate,
Leave off, and for another summons wait.
Before the radiant sun, a glimmering lamp,
Adulterate measures fix the sterling stamp
Appear not manner than mere lumber blaz,
Compar'd with gems, which shine with native rays:
These verses, bold; these humbly send pauses;
There, cold salutes; but here, a lover's kiss.
Thus have I seen a rapid headlong tide,
With foaming waves the passive banks divide,
Whose lazy waters without motion lay,
While he with eager force urg'd his impetuous way!

Upon a Stolen Kiss.

BY GEORGE WITHER.

Now gentle sleep hath closed up those eyes
Which, waking, lit pt my boldest thoughts in awe;
And free access unto that sweet lip lies,
From whence I long the rosy breath to draw.
Methinks no wrong it were, if I should steal
From those two blushing virtues, but a kiss;
Most men the theft they would the theft reveal,
Nor rob I her of aught what she can miss:
May should I twenty kisses take away,
There would in little sign I would do so;
Why then should I this robbery delay?
Oh! she may wake, and therewith angry grow!
Well, if she do, I'll back restore that one,
And twenty hundred thousand more for loan.

—The Messrs. Appleton have just brought out a blue-and-gold edition of Tom Moore's Melodies, in three volumes containing one page and by Sir Joshua Reynolds's previous collections—a rhymed epistle addressed to Lieut. Sherard Clarke, 'Leith, Cal.' (or rather, I am probably mistaken, was originally decorating the Knickerbocker Gallery.

A SOUVENIR OF A DINNER.

Standing irresolute at the book-stall at the Great Northern Terminus, King's Cross, uncertain in which of the red and yellow volumes I should invest half-a-crown for my mental delectation on a journey to Edinburgh, my eye rested on a little brochure called *The Art of Dining*.

We all know how to *eat*, but very few of us know how to *dine*. The one is a suggestion of nature, the other is an effort of high art, in which we are constantly frustrated. Providence sends meats, and the proverb tells us how those good things are neutralized by the envoys of the Prince of Darkness. There is no enterprise to which the human mind can be directed more noble in itself, or more profitable to mankind, than the conversion of fish, flesh, fowl, fruits, and vegetables into sapid and nutritious *nutriol*. I will not say it has been the study of my life to dine well, for in our hot youth we care little what we eat, or when, or how, the meat is dressed. *C'est toute autre chose* when the actual palate becomes a little fantastical from use, the ideal taste somewhat refined by experience, and the gratification of epicurean guests an object of social, and often of high political importance. Hence the last half of my life has been consecrated to gastronomic considerations. At thirty I began to suspect the merits of boiled mutton and caper sauce; at forty, boiled beef and mutton-chops were discarded from my table; at fifty, I peremptorily discharged my cook for daring to place a suet-pudding before me. But if I thus circumscribed the limits of my *eats* in one way, I enlarged them in another. If I dismissed my old-established *pièce de résistance*, my heavy battalions and siege-train, I enlisted an immense body of light infantry and flying-artillery in their places. The radius of my bill of fare is very extensive, comprising innumerable French dishes with ingenious titles, and many of my own conception with loyal and popular designations. Ask at the Waterloo or Anderson's (late Macgregor's), in Princes street, for a *pâté à la provost d' Edinburg* or an *Auld Reekie sapperaise*, and you will see that I have some pretensions to culinary skill. Still, I am not satisfied; none but egotists are easily pleased with their own work. I believe I have yet much to learn of the sublime science of dining. The Walkers, Udes, Savarins, and so forth, thought only of providing for a party varying from eight to twenty guests, and even more. Few have taught us how *one* person may dine. The other day, in the Dover train, I fell in with an alderman who was going over to Paris for the first time in his life. "To dine at the *Trois Frères* or *Durand's*?" I concluded.

"O dear, no—to see the Tooleries and the Loover, etcetera. What do I care for French kickshaws? No—a man must be particklier indeed who couldn't be satisfied with old English fare."

"Just so," I replied, falling into his humor—"turtle, venison, turbot, and all that."

"Now," said he, turning towards me, and looking me full in the face, "how you talk! You fellows of the West End, or the country, have the most erroneous conceptions of an alderman's appetite. It is true, we give the best of fare in our power to our guests on public occasions; but see us in private—we are perfect anchorites!" And he sank back in his seat, the very type of a self-denying Silenus.

"You amaze me," I rejoined: "the popular notion runs quite the other way."

My curiosity was greatly piqued, and I resolved to lead my companion to a disclosure of an ascetic alderman's fare *en solitude*.

"Tell me, sir," said I, continuing the conversation, "if I don't take too great a liberty, what may be your ideas of gastronomic simplicity."

"My ideas of simplicity! Why, give me but a basin of Scotch broth (the most exhilarating of broths—the very champagne of soups), followed by a tender rump-steak and oyster-sauce; a bird, according to the season; a pudding or a tart, and a piece of stilton; with a glass of sherry after my soup, porter with my steak, and a pint of port after my cheese; and I would wish for nothing more!"

If I was amazed before, I was now petrified. Truly, a most moderate gourmand; quite a rigorous, self-denying Barmecide. The description conjured to my mind a vision of Sancho Panza feasting in prosperity. But the alderman a little sketch did not provide the lesson I expected. It did not help me to arrange a dinner for a solitary bachelor of delicate appetite and limited means. I was, and still am, at a loss in that important particular; therefore it was that (the reader must pardon the long digression) I laid out eighteenpence in the *Art of Dining*—one of Mr. Murray's volumes of *Railway Reading*—and had cut all the leaves before the train had emerged from the the tunnel which darkens the road between King's Cross and Potter's Bar.

The book proved to be a reprint of Mr. Hayward's article from the *Quarterly*—a good *résumé* of all that had been written on the subject of gastronomy, and an amusing collection of anecdotes of famous cooks, and equally famous patrons of cooks, from Louis XIV. to Lord Alvanley. It is very edifying and nearly complete. If the author and the accomplished diners-out and dinner-givers whom Mr. A. H. consulted, have failed in anything, it is in doing justice to curries—the most delicious of all methods of dressing certain kinds of human food. See how readily the thousands of Englishmen and Scotchmen who go to India adapt themselves to it; and how the pleasure of returning to their native land in the autumn of life is qualified by the sacrifice of what had become a *sine qua non* in the daily meal. An English curry is ordinarily a detestable mess, a gross imposition, a downright insult to the cultivated palate. Fowl or rabbit smeared with tumeric and black pepper, and served up with half-boiled rice—that's an English *salmagivi's* notion of the thing. A native of the Andaman Islands would recoil from it with horror; and Jack Pandy, of the 34th Bengal Native Infantry, would find in such garbage a new apology for mutiny, in which his officers would sustain him. But try a curry made as a first-class Indian Abasamak, or butler, would fabricate it. It is easily done. I know two families who have acquired a respectable status in society by its adoption. You have all the ingredients at hand—saffron, the pulp of the cocoa-nut, butter, garlic, red pepper, onions (which should be fried separately), salt, an apple; and, mind me, use only the meats of an open grain. The smooth, impenetrable texture of rabbit and chicken renders it quite impossible for the meat to become even partially saturated with the curry-stuff thus compounded; and unless that is done, you may as well serve up the leg of a chair or table with your rice. Shrimps and eels make admirable curries, for the same reason that pork and mutton are to be commended. They gratefully reciprocate service; they impart to the condiment some of their own exquisite flavor, while they receive the saffron impregnation. A shrimp or prawn curry, served with well-boiled rice, would enable a minister of State to win over the most bitter opponent and selfish intriguer in the shape of a Scotch economist. But let that pass. I do not quarrel with A. Hayward for omitting to speak of things which only orientalists can understand. No, I forgive him for his modesty; and I also honor him for the praise *en passant* he has bestowed on my lamented friend, Alexis Soyer. He says of the deceased: "He is a clever man, of inventive genius, and inexhaustible resource; but his execution is hardly on a par with his conception, and he is more likely to earn his immortality by his soup-kitchens, than by his soups."

I am not quite sure that this is a just estimate, though it is kindly meant. A great cook is no more expected to make his dishes than a great general is expected to head every charge of cavalry. It is his province to conceive, plan, direct, leaving to the employés of his *batterie de cuisine* to operate their pleasant warfare. This was Soyer's *forte*. If he had been a wealthy man, he would have devoted his remaining [illegible] to the composition of dishes, which his [illegible] would have stood in the way of doing. I turn dizzy with thinking of the [illegible] at the Reform Club. Night or [illegible] [illegible] pastoral array, and I have many [illegible] recollection of the dignity [illegible] "a suckling pig, [illegible] hanging his feathered [illegible], nursery's waste," as poor Tom Moore sang in one of his most charming chansons. Soyer was supreme in the [illegible] story. [illegible] been very unlike what the Yiddish [illegible] of all his surveyed." The dinner [illegible] the chambers, and this is how it [illegible]

I had been [illegible] with the [illegible] chief, his *aide*, and observed how [illegible] to [illegible] to the appetites of so many hundreds of [illegible] by their intelligent and visible [illegible] Englishmen. "Bah!" he [illegible], "they do not appreciate me or my cookery. It is thrown away upon them. There are many *gourmands* among them, but very few *gourmets*. Excepting Lord M. H., there is hardly one of them that knows how to order a dinner; and if he leaves it to me, and gives me a limit to the price, ten to one but he invites people who would as readily eat a piece of under-done roast beef, as they know of *bouchée de laguiole à la Périgueux*, or *suprême de sarcelle à la Villeroi, garnie d'une arabesque!* It is terrible, my dear friend, to think how great talent is prostituted before such coarse fellows." I felt he was quite right, and I told him so, and I repeated poor old Goldsmith's notions of a good company over a haunch of venison. "Tween," he resumed; "I think you could appreciate a superior dinner. I think your name before whom I could venture to deploy the highest efforts of my poor genius. Come and dine with me on Saturday next. We can repair from the fatigues of mind and stomach on the Sunday. Invite five friends. Our table must be round, and our party limited to eight. Thus all can share in the conversation. You and I, and a French friend of mine—a confidential envoy from the *ministère de la bouche*, *du Prince President de la R-r-r-republique*—will make three. Ask the rest yourself, and let them be *beaux esprits*." I accepted the invitation.

It took me an hour to consider which of the men in my small circle would best suit the occasion. It is not often that the most brilliant intellect is associated with the most undeniable palate. Faraday is content with a cutlet; Tennyson is said to affect tripe. I don't believe it. However, when I had deliberated, made out list after list, and then weeded and pruned the collection, I decided on my five. A. was a brilliant M.P., as M.P.'s go; B. was a barrister; C., an actor of abounding quiet humor; D., an artist—a superb historical painter; E., a soldier and traveller. The literary interest was represented poorly enough by myself.

The dinner hour was eight; we were punctual. The table was chastely spread—a vase of flowers in the centre; a vase would have obscured the guests from each other. A gas chandelier above illuminated the table. There were knives, forks, and napkins for the eight guests—nothing more—not even a salt-cellar—on the whitest of damask cloths. Soyer was confident in his welcome. His face beamed with the pleasure which a sense of triumph and a prospect of enjoyment is sure to impart. He took his seat, and a servant brought in one dish. We had no soup. "It is a mistake," said the incomparable Soyer, "to provide a pool for the reception of viands. You only drown them." The first course was fish, of which three descriptions came in, one after the other, so that they should be hot. I remember there were *filets de sole à la Normande*; but I remember nothing more of the many dishes which succeeded each other at prolonged intervals, all seasoned, all cut up, and temperately remitted, so that a silver fork removed the slices without the intervention of a knife. Their measured *entrée* allowed repose to the stomach, time for wine, and time for talk. We did not make a toil of a pleasure. There were several wines, each adapted to a particular dish; they were sipped deliberately; it was necessary that an amalgam should be established within, and the palate allowed an opportunity of reviving. Do you blame me that I do not remember the details of the dinner, fascinated as I was with the *ensemble*? Then I [illegible] the girl in Bulwer's *Pompeii* who had never noticed the color of her lover's eyes. How many a man remembers with what intense enjoyment he read Walter Scott's *Waverley*, but how few can recall a single passage of the unapproachable work! Then I know [illegible] one feature of the dinner: it was too striking to be forgotten.

We had reached the last course—it was midnight, and yet no one was suffering from repletion. The servitor now brought us in ham, boiled, glazed in grated bread, and decorated with a *papillote* of [illegible].

"O Soyer," exclaimed the guests with one voice, "what appetites you must suppose us to possess!" We had eaten of innumerable meats, and rather preferred a good dessert. "Cut," said the *gastronome* to me, indifferent to the appeal of his friends. I looked at him imploringly: Why carve what no one should eat? His expression was sternly resolute. Napoleon I.'s could not have been more obdurate. "Everybody who dines with me must eat what I command. Cut, I say; you will not repent it." Slap went the knife into the neighborhood of the knuckle, the meat yielding with the most graceful condescension. The second insertion revealed the trick. It was a cake, of the pound-cake quality, filled with vanille ice! What might he call it a *jambon à la surprise*, for our quick minds were astonished exceedingly. Everybody had a corner for *that* ham. Soyer then told us that it was nothing very new, though uncommon. He had once practiced the same device at the house of Sir Robert Peel, on a grander scale. He had prepared an entire course of imitative game—pheasants, partridges, snipe, hare—all were *pâtisse*, with iced crotons in their jaws. Colonel Peel, the present Secretary of State for War, presided; the lady of Sir Robert was at the other hand. "Why, what's the meaning of this?" exclaimed the colonel, who had the hare before him. "We have done with game." "Never mind," said her ladyship, who was in the secret; "you have only to carve; nobody is obliged to eat." And he did carve, as prodigiously astounded at the results as he was when Lord Derby sent to him a few months ago, and placed him at the head of the War-office.

Towards the close of the dinner, one of the servants of the establishment came in with what appeared, at first sight, to be a diminutive jack-in-the-green.

"Ah, ha!" said our host, "that's just the thing—think the duchess will be pleased."

This was another surprise, at least for us. Alexis had devised a bouquet of game for the Duchess of Sutherland. It consisted simply of a few grouse, an occasional boy's kite, and about five feet high. On this, mingled with evergreen leaves, so as to conceal the frame, were placed, with much genius and effect, hare, two rabbits, a pheasant, partridges, grouse, plovers, snipe, larks, and ducks—all made fast to the frame. A picture of this clever contrivance after words appeared in the *Illustrated News*; but a woodcut surround with black ink conveyed no idea of the beauty of the original, or of the variety of plumage that spread over rich and glossy green.

We rose from table a little before one, to repair to the kitchen, to see the *entrée* spread, and give orders for a supper of broils and devils. As I turned round I saw a portrait of the late Madame Soyer on the wall, in crayons, and in an unusually low part of the wall. I was tempted to remark upon the singularity. "That is a little story attached to that," said Soyer with a melancholy smile. "The house was being white-washed; I was out of the way when my poor wife ordered it. With her usual fun, she raised a plate of [illegible] immediately sketched her sweet face on the wall. [illegible] when it was finished, she said to one of the [illegible] "There, tell Monsieur Soyer that a lady paid [illegible] visit, and that her left her card!"

The broils proved an capsule in their way as [illegible] stews, broils, roasts, and [illegible] which had preceded them. It was four o'clock [illegible] morning, before the perfect company. Sensations of whisky-punch-and-bed [illegible] at variance to suit the wants; there had been [illegible] a [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]